

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/607,864	06/30/2000	Andrew Bencich Woodside	24760A	9951
7:	590 12/18/2002			
John A. Molnar, Jr. Parker-Hannifin Corporation 6t035 Parkland Boulevard			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, KIMBERLY T	
Cleveland, OH	44124-4141		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002	1 2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AS
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/607,864	WOODSIDE ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kimberly T. Nguyen	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	ss
THE REPLY FILED 02 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to h places the applicatio	o a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se R 1.136(a) and the appropr	ee MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic	the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail CFR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final Off ling date of the final rejection	fice action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR</li> </ol>			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	lifying the
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceli</li><li>NOTE: .</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed an	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT բ	place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were n	newly
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-27</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examine	r.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449)	·	
10. Other:			



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: though Applicants state that Kosuga uses high-pressure applications to coat the fibers, Applicants invention also uses low pressure means to. Further, Kobayashi is used in combination with Kosuga to show that it is known to use the resins of Kobayashi--which Applicants claim--to coat the fibers of Kosuga. Kobayashi shows that it is known that the resins effectively surround and thus coat carbon fibers.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUTTING DAY PATENT EXAMINER
12 ACC DECAM CENTER 1700